

NRES-D-07-00009

“Psychiatric Disorders in Patients Presenting to the Emergency Department for Minor Injury”
Original Submission

Teeranut Harnirattisai, PhD, RN (Reviewer 2)

Reviewer

Recommendation Accept With Minor Revisions

Term:

Overall Reviewer N/A
Manuscript Rating:

(1) Answer yes or no for each of the questions below:

Do you have a conflict of interest in reviewing this paper?

Yes _____ No ___/___

Do you perceive a conflict of interest for the authors within the paper? Yes _____ No ___/___

Do you agree to hold its contents confidential?

Yes ___/___ No _____

Comments to Editor: Does this paper meet the IRB standards?

Yes ___/___ No _____

IS A STATISTICAL REVIEWER NEEDED? If so, please explain.

Yes _____ No ___/___

(2) Provide confidential comments to the Editor:

Psychiatric Disorders in Patients Presenting to the Emergency Department for Minor Injury.

It is an interesting study. Some revisions or clarification are needed.

Key word: What does PTSD stand for? Is it PTSD or PTDS (Post Traumatic distress syndrome?) If it is so, I don't think it is appropriated to use this term especially for minor injury.

Comments to Author:

Problem statement - It is good to say that most studies focused on major injury. However, it would be better and more important if you can put the reasons why and what is the association between minor injury and psychiatric disorders. Lack of assessment and treatment of psychiatric disorder among minor injury patients may be more an essential point to focus in the problem statement of this study.

Theoretical framework. Line 17 ---You only mentioned that this study was

theoretically grounded in Nagi's theory of disabling process. Please explain more how you used Nagi's theory in your study??? Psychiatric disorder in traumatic patients can lead to disability. However I don't think this study is relevant to the Nagi's theory. The Nagi's theory explains the factors that affect the independence in the performance of roles and daily tasks. Using in the traumatic patients, this theory may be not relevant.

Line 12---- it would be clearer to specifically explain these vulnerable patients.

For the sample of the study--- you may need to give more information why 29 patients refused to be in the study.

Other comments:

In the references: the second row of each should be indent.

Manuscript Rating Question(s): Scale Rating

Problem Statement:	[1-3] 2
Attention to relevant literature	[1-3] 2
Theoretical framework:	[1-3] 2
Research design:	[1-3] 1
Data analysis:	[1-3] 1
Discussion of results:	[1-3] 1
Organization:	[1-3] 2
Writing Style:	[1-3] 1
Value of topic:	[1-5] 2
Probable reader interest in topic:	[1-5] 2
Importance of present contribution:	[1-5] 3
Priority of topic for publication:	[1-5] 3
Rank this manuscript for its value:	[1-5] 3