

June 10, 2006

Ms # 2005/183

Dear Dr. Mahon:

Thank you for the additional work you have done with your manuscript. The solution you have presented with revised tables is a good one.

Attached is the additional review we obtained on your manuscript with regard to the tables. The primary message that I get from this review is that we should be very careful that the discussion of your analysis is presented in language and in enough detail for the average reader of this journal to understand.

The additional information you received from the former student of Brian Mullen is of interest, but because you have developed revised tables is not needed. I considered the possibility that you might add a note to the tables explaining what Paige said, but I don't think it is necessary with the revised tables.

Would you please read the sections of the manuscript that relate to the tables? Make any revisions that you think will be helpful to the goal of assisting our readers to appreciate the analysis you have done. These changes, if any, can be communicated by e-mail to Sherry. When Sherry hears from you she will get the manuscript ready for the publisher. You may use the attached copyedited version of your manuscript for any revisions.

Thanks.

Molly C. Dougherty  
Editor